Continuous Improvement Plan <u>Tarawa Terrace Elementary School</u>

Mid-Atlantic District

SY 2020-2021

Goal: All Tarawa Terrace Elementary School students will increase math proficiency.

List the data used to determine goal: (attach evidence)

- Terra Nova Scores were used to determine the goal.
- EOY Status Report
- CCRSM Assessments have been used each year to determine and continue our goal.
 - 2017-2018 BOY Data <u>https://goo.gl/W5Qiw9</u>
 - 2017-2018 EOY Data <u>https://goo.gl/tBtBHD</u>
 - 2018-2019 EOY Data <u>https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1R78BpA2IXp5nddQFd3gaPXRWFgO4zesm</u>
 - 2019-2020 EOY Data: due to COVID19 during the spring of 2020, no EOY assessment data was collected.

	Blueprint Alignment	List the data used to determine objectives: (attach evidence)
Objective 1 : By the end of the school year 2020-2021, 80% of students will score at or above the standard in math as measured by district math assessments.	1.1a- Systemic Accountability for Learning 1.1b- Equitable Learning Experiences for All Students 1.1c- Differentiated Instruction for All Students	 Terra Nova Scores EOY Status Report School Quality Factor
Objective 2 : TTES students in grades 3 -5 will increase math scores by 1% across all domains in mathematics by the end of the school year 2020-2021 as measured by the DoDEA Summative Assessment.	 Students 1.1d- Access to Rigorous Instruction 1.1e- 21st Century Learning Environments 1.1f- Common Understanding of the DoDEA CAS 2.1a- Quality Implementation of PL C/EC 	Baseline DoDEA Summative Assessment data collected during 17-18 school year.

2.1b- Integrate
Continuous
Improvement into the
Daily Work of Districts
and Schools.
2.1c- Ongoing
Professional Learning.
2.1d- Assessment
Literacy
2.1e- Instructional
Leadership Professional
Learning
2.1f- Culture of Shared
Leadership

Why are the students identified in objective #1 not achieving the identified skill or skill set? (root cause analysis process will determine the strategy identified to be implemented)

Tarawa Terrace students have difficulty with number sense and number operations. As noted on our Terra Nova OPI scores from SY 2016-2017, our students scored the lowest in these two areas.

Strategy #1 for Objective #1 and #2:

Integration of SMPs: Pre-kindergarten through fifth-grade teachers will intentionally plan to incorporate the eight standards of mathematical practice in daily lessons.

Research Cited: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2003). Principles and Standards for School Mathematics. Reston, VA: NCTM

Why are the students identified in objective #2 not achieving the identified skill or skill set? (root cause analysis process will determine the strategy identified to be implemented)

Tarawa Terrace students have difficulty with number sense and number operations. As noted on our Terra Nova OPI scores from SY

2016-2017, our students scored the lowest in these two areas.

Strategy #2 for Objective #1 and #2:

Math Fluency Practice: Pre-kindergarten through fifth-grade teachers will intentionally incorporate research-based math fluency strategies in daily lessons.

Research Cited: National Governors Association Center for Best Practices & Council of Chief State School Officers. (2010). Common core state standards for mathematics. Common core state standards (college and career readiness standards and K-12 standard in English language arts and math). Washington, DC: Autor. http://corestandards.org

Procedural fluency builds on a foundation of conceptual understanding, strategic reasoning, and problem-solving. National Research Council (2001). Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics. Washington, DC: National Academics Press.

In computation, procedural fluency supports students' analysis of their own and others' calculation methods, such as written procedures and mental methods for the four arithmetic operations, as well as their own and others' use of tools like calculators, computers, and manipulative materials. Procedural fluency extends students' computational fluency and applies in all strands of mathematics.

Research Cited:

Procedural Fluency in Mathematics. (2014). National Council of Mathematics, 1-2. Retrieved January 11, 2016, from http://www.nctm.org/Standards-and-Positions/Position-Statements/Procedural-Fluency-in-Mathematics/.

Procedural fluency builds from an initial exploration and discussion of number concepts to using informal reasoning strategies and the properties of operations to develop general methods for solving problems.

Effective teaching practice provides experiences that help students to connect procedures with the underlying concepts and provide students with opportunities to rehearsal or practice strategies and to justify their procedures. Practice should be brief, engaging, purposeful, and distributed.

Strategy #3 for Objective #1 and #2:

Student Goal Setting:, TTES staff members will have the opportunity to determine a method to set student goals in order to monitor student achievement.

Research Cited:

Jane M. Wilburne & Emily Dause (2017) Teaching self-regulated learning strategies to low-achieving fourth-grade students to enhance their perseverance in mathematical problem solving, Investigations in Mathematics Learning, 9:1, 38-52, DOI: 10.1080/19477503.2016.1245036

"The intervention teachers mostly reported that the implementation of goal-setting and feedback to enhance student motivation and autonomy was having a positive effect and making a difference to student learning outcomes. Teachers seemed to believe that having the students set goals helped to focus and give them greater ownership of their learning" (McDonald, et al., 2016, p. 304) Lyn McDonald, Annaline Flint, Christine M. Rubie-Davies, Elizabeth R. Peterson, Penny Watson & Lynda Garrett (2016) Teaching high-expectation strategies to teachers through an intervention process, Professional Development in Education, 42:2, 290-307, DOI: 10.1080/19415257.2014.980009

Strategy #4 for Objective #1 and #2:

Math Intervention:, based upon beginning of the year math assessment students will be identified for focused intervention in mathematics.

Research Cited:

Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Hamlett, C. L., Hope, S. K., & al, e. (2006). Extending Responsiveness-to-Intervention to Math Problem-Solving at Third Grade. *Teaching Exceptional Children, 38*(4), 59-63.

http://ezproxy.liberty.edu/login?qurl=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.proquest.com%2Fdocview%2F201166325%3Faccountid%3D12085

(Strategy Implementation Ac	(Strategy Implementation Activities must be in sequential order.)					
Strategy	Responsible Party –	Resources (Fiscal and	Begin Date – End	Monitor	Evaluate	
Implementation	person or group	Logistics)	Date			
Activities					·	
<u>Staff Development:</u>	Instructional System	N/A	BOY-EOY	Instructional System	Teachers will complete	
Teachers will	Specialist, Math			Specialist	a professional	
participate in district	Teacher Leader,				development needs	
wide staff	Administration, CSI				each training	
development.	Team, Teachers				caen training.	
Staff Development:	Instructional System	N/A	BOY- EOY	Classroom teachers,	Observations	
TTES teachers will	Specialist, Math			Administration	Walk-Throughs	
participate in CCRSM	Teacher Leader,			D		
collaboration for 30	Administration, CSI			Focused		
minutes each week.	Team, Teachers			Discussions		
Teachers will be				100000010110		
provided with a						
common planning						
time weekly for						
CCRSM.						
<u>Multiple</u>	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	Teachers	PK-5 teachers will	
Representations:	Support Staff, AAPS,				complete a Google	
Students will use	Math IS			Focused	Form at the end of	
multiple				Collaboration	each week to monitor.	
representations, (dot				Discussions		
cards, ten frames,						
	:	:	:		:	

etc.) including					
number talks to					
support and express					
their understanding					
of numbers and					
operations.					
Fluency Practice-	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	CSI Team, Math IS	PK-5 teachers will
All Pk-5 teachers will	Support Staff, AAPS,				complete a Google
implement a 5-10	Math IS			Focused	Form at the end of
minute period daily				Collaboration	each week to monitor.
of math fact fluency				Discussions	
practice.					
<u>Tiered</u>	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	CSI Team	Teachers will use the
Intervention-	Support Staff, AAPS,				focused collaboration
Grades K-5 teachers	Math IS			Focused	minutes to document
and students will				Collaboration	the use of the tiered
have a 20 minute				Discussions	intervention groups.
tiered intervention					
group time in the					
master schedule.					
Differentiated Math	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	Focused	Teachers will use the
Groups: K-5 teachers	Support Staff, AAPS,			Collaboration	focused collaboration
will create flexible,	Math IS			Discussions	minutes tool.
differentiated, math					
intervention groups					
to improve math					
instruction.					
20-60-20 Model	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	Focused	Observations
Teachers will use the	Support Staff, AAPS,			Collaboration	Walk-Throughs
DoDEA Math	Math IS			Discussions	
Instructional					
Components to help					
develop math					

lessons. Teachers will use the 20-60-20 model developed by DoDEA HQ.					
Posters - The CSI team will provide all grade level teachers with informational posters to be displayed in the classrooms about the math fluency interventions. Posters will also be placed in common areas around the school for all stakeholders to see.	CSI Team	N/A	BOY- EOY	CSI Team and Administration	Observations Walk-Throughs
Math Teacher Leader- The math teacher leader is a resource for staff to come to if they need help with math in their classroom.	Math Teacher Leader	N/A	BOY- EOY	Math Teacher Leader	Emails
Mentor Teacher- The mentor teacher is a resource for staff to come to if they need help with any areas in their classroom.	Mentor Teacher	N/A	BOY- EOY	Mentor Teacher	Emails

Dognongiyo	ΜͲϚϚ / ϦͲΙ	N/A	BOY-EOY	Teachers	Learning Walk
<u>Responsive</u>	M133/K11	•			Through
Professional				CSI Team	
<u>Development</u>	Professional				Forme Collebourtion
<u>Topics:</u>	Development with				Focus Collaboration
	MATH ISS			Focus Collaboration	minutes
				Team	
	Understanding of				
	vertical alignment				
	within Operations				
	and Algebraic				
	Thinking and				
	Numbers and Base				
	Ten				
	Exploration of				
	Communities of				
	Practice (vertical				
	alignment)				
	angnment)				

Goal 2: All Tarawa Terrace Elementary School students will increase literacy proficiency.

List the data used to determine goal: (attach evidence)

- K-3 BAS Data
 - <u>2018-2019 BOY Data</u>
 - 2018-2019 EOY Data
 - <u>2019-2020 BOY Data</u> (Due to COVID 19, no EOY assessment data was collected.)
- 3-5 SRI Data
 - <u>2018-2019 BOY Data</u>
 - <u>2018-2019 EOY Data</u>
 - <u>2019-2020 BOY Data</u> (Due to COVID 19, no EOY assessment data was collected.)

• K-5 Benchmark Advance Interim Data

- 2018-2019 Data
 - <u>Interim 1</u>
 - <u>Interim 4</u>
- 2019-2020 Data (Due to COVID 19, no EOY assessment data was collected.)
 - Interim 1
- 3-5 DCAS Literacy Interims
 - <u>2019-2020 Data</u> (Due to COVID 19, no EOY assessment data was collected.)
- PreK Teaching Strategies Gold
 - 2020-2021 BOY Data

	Blueprint Alignment	List the data used to determine objectives: (attach evidence)
Objective 1 : By the end of the school year 2020-2021, the percent of students in Grades K-2 below proficiency will <i>decrease</i> by 15% based on district measures.	 1.1a- Systemic Accountability for Learning 1.1b- Equitable Learning Experiences for All Students 1.1c- Differentiated Instruction for All Students 	 Benchmark Assessment System Data Benchmark Advance Interim Data
Objective 2 : By the end of the school year 2020-2021, the percent of students in Grades 3-5 that are in the bottom two achievement levels will <i>decrease</i> by 15% based on district measures.	1.1d- Access to Rigorous Instruction 1.1e- 21st Century Learning Environments 1.1f- Common Understanding of the DoDEA CAS 2.1a- Quality Implementation of PLC/FC 2.1b- Integrate Continuous Improvement into the	 Scholastic Reading Inventory Data Benchmark Advance Interim Data DCAS Interim and Summative Data

Objective 3 : By the end of the school year 2020-2021, 80% of students will <i>increase</i> letter name and sound knowledge by 2 levels as measured by the Creative Curriculum Continuum.	Daily Work of Districts and Schools. 2.1c- Ongoing Professional Learning. 2.1d- Assessment Literacy 2.1e- Instructional Leadership Professional Learning 2.1f- Culture of Shared Leadership	• Teaching Strategies Gold Trimester Data

Why are the students identified in <u>objective #1 and #2</u> not achieving the identified skill or skill set? (root cause analysis process will determine the strategy identified to be implemented)

Instructional shifts occurred when we adopted the College and Career Ready Standards for Literacy. The greater focus on complex text with academic language and text dependent questioning proved challenging for our students.

Strategy #1 for Objective #1 an #2:

Professional Learning: Targeted TTES staff will participate in mandated district designed professional learning related to ELA standards and curriculum.

Research Cited:

Bresina, B. C., & McMaster, K. L. (2020). Exploring the Relation Between Teacher Factors and Student Growth in Early Writing. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *53*(4), 311–324. https://doi-org.ezproxy.liberty.edu/10.1177/0022219420913543

Traga Philippakos, Z. A. (2020). A Yearlong, Professional Development Model on Genre-Based Strategy Instruction on Writing. *Journal of Educational Research*, *113*(3), 177–190.

Strategy #2 for Objective #1 and #2:

PAW (Personalized Academic Work) Time: Beginning school year 2020-2021, students will be identified for focused interventions in ELA based upon district assessments.

Research Cited:

Simmons, D. C., et al. (2007). Attributes of effective and efficient kindergarten reading interventions: An examination of instructional time and design specificity. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 40*(4), 331-47. doi: 10.1177/002221940704000040401

O'Connor, R. E., et al. (2005). Tiers of intervention in kindergarten through third grade. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 38*(6), 532-538. doi: 10.1177/00222194050380060901

Strategy #3 for Objective #1 and #2

Student Goal Setting: Beginning school year 2020-2021, TTES staff members will have the opportunity to determine a method to set student goals in order to monitor student achievement.

Research Cited:

O'Neill, J. (2004). Teachers learn to set goals with students: Cooperative process brings Wisconsin school to new heights of innovation and success. *Journal of Staff Development, 25*(3), 32-37.

"The intervention teachers mostly reported that the implementation of goal-setting and feedback to enhance student motivation and autonomy was having a positive effect and making a difference to student learning outcomes. Teachers seemed to believe that having the students set goals helped to focus and give them greater ownership of their learning" (McDonald, et al., 2016, p. 304).

Lyn McDonald, Annaline Flint, Christine M. Rubie-Davies, Elizabeth R. Peterson, Penny Watson & Lynda Garrett (2016) Teaching high-expectation strategies to teachers through an intervention process, Professional Development in Education, 42:2, 290-307, DOI: 10.1080/19415257.2014.980009

Strategy #1 for Objective #3:

PreKindergarten Teachers will develop and utilize targeted activities to meet each achievement level within the Creative Curriculum Continuum.

Research Cited: In many areas of development and learning some concepts and skills logically come first and others build on them (e.g., the understanding of the alphabetic principle lays the foundation for reading)" (Copple & Bredekamp. 2013).

Young children's knowledge and understanding of the alphabet "has been consistently and empirically shown to be an important early literacy skill and one of the strongest predictors of later reading ability" (Huang, Tortorella, & Invernizh, 2014).

Young children's alphabet knowledge is a strong predictor of later reading, writing, and spelling ability (National Early Literacy Panel, 2008)

(Strategy Implementation Activities must be in sequential order.)					
Strategy Implementation Activities	Responsible Party – person or group	Resources (Fiscal and Logistics)	Begin Date – End Date	Monitor	Evaluate
Professional	Instructional System	N/A	BOY-EOY	Instructional System	Teachers will complete
Development:	Specialist, Site			Specialist	a professional
	Literacy Leader,				development needs

Teachers will	Administration, CSI				assessment at the end of
participate in district	Team, Teachers				each quarter.
wide staff					
development.					
Professional	Instructional System	N/A	BOY- EOY	Classroom teachers,	Observations
Development: TTES	Specialist, Site			Administration	Walk-Throughs
teachers will	Literacy Leader,			Fernand	Focus Collaboration
participate in CCRSL	Administration, CSI			Focused	FORMS
collaboration for 75	Team, Teachers			Discussions	
minutes each week.					
Teachers are				Tiger Form	
provided with a					
common planning					
time weekly for					
CCRSL.					
<u>Tiered</u>	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	Teachers	Teachers will use the
Interventions (PAW	Support Staff, AAPS,				focused collaboration
<u>Time)-</u> Grades K-5	Literacy IS			CSI Team	minutes to document
teachers and					the use of the tiered
students will have a				Focused	intervention groups.
20 minute tiered				Collaboration	
intervention group				Discussions	
time in the master					
schedule.					
Differentiated	Classroom Teachers,	N/A	BOY- EOY	Focused	Teachers will use the
Literacy Groups:	Support Staff, AAPS			Collaboration	focused collaboration
K-5 teachers will				Discussions	minutes tool.
create flexible,					
differentiated groups					
to improve literacy					
instruction.					

20-60-20 Model Teachers will use the DoDEA ELA Instructional Components to help develop ELA lessons. Teachers will use the 20-60-20 model developed by DoDEA HQ.	Classroom Teachers, Support Staff, AAPS, Literacy IS	N/A	BOY- EOY	Focused Collaboration Discussions	Observations Walk-Throughs
Site Literacy Leader- The site literacy leader is a resource for staff when implementing CCRSL.	Site Literacy Leader	N/A	BOY- EOY	Site Literacy Leader	Emails Feedback from CCRSL training Staff needs assessment following quarterly CCRSL training
Mentor Teacher- The mentor teacher and created Google Classrooms for new staff are a resource when help is needed in any area in their classroom.	Mentor Teacher	N/A	BOY- EOY	Mentor Teacher	Emails
Responsive Professional Development Topics: MTSS/RTI Training	Autism ISS	N/A	BOY-EOY	Needs Assessment Focus collaboration minutes/discussion	Learning Walk Throughs Focused Collaboration minutes tool

Differentiated	CSI Team	
Centers		
	ELA IS	
Guided		
Reading/Flexible	Site Literacy Leader	
Grouping		
	Various Staff	
Higher Order		
Questioning		

